Committee of Ministers on the pay scales of junior engineers in CPWD

3283. SHRI ASHOK NATH VERMA: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Government had agreed some time back to refer the question of anomaly in the Pay Scale of the Junior Engineers of CPWD to a group of Ministers;

(b) if so, what is the nature of anomalies;

(c) what are the details of the members of the Committee and when it was constituted; and

(d) what is the time stipulated for submission of the Committee's report?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI DALBIR SINGH): (a) and (b) As per the recommendations of the Fourth Central Pay Commission, two revised pay scales, viz. Rs. 1400—2300 and Rs. 1640—2900, have been sanctioned for the Junior Engineers in the CPWD. The method of recruitment for the posts in the higher scale would be by promotion of the Junior Engineers in the lower scale with 5 years service in the grade, on non-selection basis This, according to the CPWD Junior Engineers' Association, constituted an anomaly and neither the percentage of distribution of posts amongst the two scales nor the method of recruitment to the posts in the higher scale was acceptable to them. In an agreement signed with the representatives of the CPWD Junior Engineers' Association on 20th August, 1987, it was agreed that the issue would be referred by the Ministry of Urban Development for being placed before a Group of Ministers to be constituted for consideration of similar anomalies arising out of the Fourth Pay Commission's Report.

(c) As per the guidelines by the Department of Personnel & Training, Anomalies Committee, at the departmental level, consisting of representatives from the official side and the staff side of the Departmental Council (JCM), are to be constituted by the Ministries concerned. It has been decided to constitute such an Anomalies Committee in the Ministry of Urban Development also.

(d) The Committee will be required to complete its deliberations within a period of 6 months from the date of its constitution.

World Bank assistance for agricultural extension services

3284. SHRI JAGADISH JANI: Will the Minister of AGRICULTURE be pleased to state:

(a) whether World Bank assistance is being obtained for implementing Agricultural extension services;

(b) if so, the amount made available to Orissa and other States in 1986-87 and 1987-88 for that purpose; and

(c) the details of the Agricultural Extension Scheme taken up in those States with World Bank assistance?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AND COOPERATION IN THE MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE (SHRI SHYAM LAL YADAV): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) For availing of World Bank assistance, the States concerned have to implement the extension project and incur expenditure with their own funds in the first instance. Thereafter, they have to file their claims for reimbursement from the World Bank. The percentage of expenditure which is reimbursed varies for the different components under the World Bank loan agreements. The World Bank disbursement of credit is passed on to the concerned States by the

Central Government by way of additionality to their plan assistance. The amounts claimed by way of reimbursement by the concerned States including Orissa, during the years 1986-87 and 1987-88 are given in the enclosed Statement-I. (*See* below).

(c) Agricultural Extension Projects on Training and Visit (T&V) approach are the Projects implemented by the States with World Bank credits. The details of these projects are given in the enclosed Statement-II. (*See* below). In addition, some of these States are implementing Centrally Sponsored Special Sub-Projects with World Bank assistance to bridge the gaps as perceived during the implementation of the ongoing extension projects. The list of such Special Sub-Projects under implementation in the various States is in the enclosed Statement-III. (*See* below).

Statement—I

S. No.	States which claimed reimbursements in the years 1986-87 and/or 1987-88.	Reimbursement claimed during the year 1986-87 (Rs. in Million)	During the year 1987-88 till January, 88 (Rs. in Million)
1	Andhra Pradesh	9.589	
2	Gujarat	26.39	5.716
3	Haryana	24.548	6.697
4	Jammu & Kashmir	17.80	16.076
5	Karnataka	56.61	12.857
6	Kerala	48.58	—
7	Madhya Pradesh	11.313	184.446
8	Maharashtra	22.637	43.65
9	Orissa	0.79	1.524
10	Rajasthan	22.61	29.396
11	Tamil Nadu	43.976	139.72

Statement—II

S. No.	Name of the Project	State implemented/ implementing the Project	Total cost of the Project (Rs. in Million)	World Bank Credit component (Rs. in Million)	Remarks
1	Madhya Pradesh Agricultural Extension Project-I	Madhya Pradesh	188.10	90.00	Credit closed in Sept. '83
2	Madhya Pradesh Agricultural Extension Project-II	-Do-	480.00	296.00	On-going

S. No.	Name of the Project	State implemented/ implementing the Project	Total cost of the Project (Rs. in Million)	World Bank credit component (Rs. in Million)	Remarks
3	Orissa Agricultural Development Project.	Orissa	360.00	180.00	Credit closed in June, '84.
4	Rajasthan Agricultural Extension Project.	Rajasthan	239.40	117.00	Credit closed in June, '83
5	Composite Agricultural Extension Project.	(i) Gujarat	126.40	60.20	Credit closed in June, '85.
		(ii) Haryana	113.50	53.30	-Do-
		(iii) Karnataka	205.50	95.40	-Do-
6	Assam Agricultural Development Project.	Assam	147.60	72.00	Credit closed in March, '85
7	Bihar Agricultural Extension and Research Project.	Bihar	144.00	72.00	-Do-
8	West Bengal Agricultural Extension & Research Project.	West Bengal	253.80	108.00	-Do-
9	Kerala Agricultural Extension Project.	Kerala	128.50	84.00	Credit closed in June, '86
10	Maharashtra Agricultural Extension Project.	Maharashtra	305.60	184.00	Credit closed in June, '87.
11	Tamil Nadu Agricultural Extension Project.	Tamil Nadu	364.80	224.00	-Do-
12	Andhra Pradesh Agricultural Extension Project.	Andhra Pradesh	89.30	55.20	On-going
13	National Agricultural Extentension Project-I.	(i) Orissa	199.10	116.60	-Do-
		(ii) Rajasthan	218.90	128.70	-Do-
		(iii) Madhya Pradesh	145.20	85.80	-Do-
14	National Agricultural Extension Project-II.	(i) Haryana	127.20	75.60	-Do-
		(ii) Karnataka	250.80	147.60	-Do-
		(iii) Gujarat	385.20	223.20	-Do-
		(iv) Jammu & Kashmir	240.00	141.60	-Do-
15	National Agricultural Extension Project-III.	(i) Uttar Pradesh	785.20	471.90	-Do-
		(ii) Himachal Pradesh	293.80	192.40	-Do-
		(iii) Assam	193.70	117.00	-Do-
		(iv) Punjab	104.00	62.40	-Do-
		(v) Bihar	195.00	117.00	-Do-

Statement

Centrally Sponsore Special Sub-Projects under Implementation in States

S. No.	States	Projects under Implementation	Total cost of the Project (Rs. in Lakhs)	Government of India's Share (Rs. (in Lakh)
1	Maharashtra	(i) Strengthening of facilities at Taluka Seed Farms.	52.00	26 00
		(ii) Adaptive Trial-cum-Demonstrations Centres.	52.76	26.38
		(iii) Installation of Micro-Computer for Monitoring & Evaluation Cell.	3.07	1.53
2	Orissa . . .	Strengthening of Adaptive Research Farms.	28.18	14.09
3	Haryana . . .	(i) Construction of improved hostel for trainees at Haryana Agricultural University, Hissar.	42.21	21.105
		(ii) Addition/Alterations/Modifications at Kissan Ashram, Haryana Agricultural University, Hissar.	19.86	9.53
4	Rajasthan . .	Agricultural Information Support	40.93	20.465
5	Kerala . . .	(i) Information Communication Support.	56.90	28.45
		(ii) Establishment of 5 Regional Agricultural Technical Training Centres.	79.10	39.55
		(iii) Establishment of a Central Training Institute at Mannuthy and Training Service Scheme, Vellayani, Kerala Agricultural University.	42.00	21.00
6	Karnataka . . .	(i) Communication support to University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore.	1.62	0.81
		(ii) Communication support to the Department of Agriculture.	31.00	15.50
7	Himachal Pradesh	Training in Home Scale preservation of fruits and vegetables in rural areas in Himachal Pradesh.	50.00	25.00
8	Assam . . .	Improved Inputs Supply and Management in Assam.	200.00	100.00

Transfer of Trade Name of M/s Rhone Poulenc Agrochemicals (India) Ltd.

3285. SHRIMATI BIJOYA CHAKRAVARTY: Will the Minister of AGRICULTURE be pleased to state:

(a) the norms and authority under which Registration Committee of CIB had authorised/permitted M/s. Rhone Poulenc Agrochemicals (India) Ltd., Bombay to use insecticides 'Trade Name' of M/s. Union Carbide (India) Ltd. which had been debarred/restrained for transfer/sale of any asset whatsoever, till Bhopal tragedy claims are settled; and